

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMVERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/448,606	11/24/1999	JEAN-PIERRE BONICEL	Q56881	5230
7	590 07/12/2002			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CHAU N	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 200373213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/448,606	BONICEL, JEAN-PIERRE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chau N Nguyen	2831			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHs cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  6 from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 </u>	March 2002				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the	nce except for formal matter				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,6 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>3-5 and 7-9</u> is/are objected to.					
<ul><li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers</li></ul>	election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disa	pproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in App	ication No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language products)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has beer	received.			
Attachment(s)	5 priority aridor 50 0.0.0. 99	120 and/01 121,			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 18	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/448,606

Art Unit: 2831

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roe et al. (4,006,289) in view of Leger et al. (5,213,905).

Roe et al. discloses a telecommunications or power cable that is structurally reinforced by incorporating armoring having one layer of wires (15) wherein the layer of wires includes steel wires (claims 1&6) and does not carry electricity.

Roe et al. does not disclose each of the steel wires being covered in a layer of stainless steel (claims 1,2,6&10). Leger et al. discloses a composite product comprising a stainless steel sheet being plated on an armor steel sheet to provide the composite product with a good corrosion resistance. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the steel wires of Roe et al. by covering each steel wire with a stainless steel layer as taught by Leger et al. to provide each of the wires a good corrosion resistance as taught by Leger et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/448,606 Page 3

Art Unit: 2831

Re claims 11 and 12, the modified Roe et al. cable discloses that the stainless steel of each composite wire directly contacts the core of steel so as to form a two layered structure.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 3-5 and 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach or suggest a telecommunications or power transport cable comprising all features as recited in the claims and in combination with each of the wires being made of composite steel wire which is made from a tube of stainless steel filled with ground steel particles that are compressed under high pressure within the tube, then placed in a furnace, heated, and drawn to a desired section (re claim 3 and 7), and with a tube that forms a concentric layer of the cable is provided, wherein the tube is obtained from a sheet made of composite steel having a steel core of a standard type covered in a layer of stainless steel (re claims 4 and 8).

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Examiner would like to confirm the applicant that the Amendment filed on October 15, 2001 and the Preliminary Amendment filed on November 15, 2001 have been entered.

#### Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N Nguyen whose telephone number is 308-0693. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (703) 308 3682. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308 3431 for regular communications and (703) 305 1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2831

Chau N Nguyen Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 2831

CN July 8, 2002